

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

_____ Pope Soil & Water Conservation District _____ District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

Water Quality Gully Control
Erosion Control Feedlot Runoff

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Trappers Run, South Lakeshore of Minnewaska; JD-4 plus laterals, Ashley Creek

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	medium -high	7
A2 Gully Control	high	10
B1 Water Resource	high	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	high	9
C Habitat Improvement	low	1
D Air Quality	medium	4
E Impaired Water	medium	5
F Distance	medium	3
G Grazing System	medium-high	7
H Forest Mgt.	low	1
Additional Local*	high	8

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

If project is located in the following watersheds (6 points): South Lakeshore Minnewaska; Trappers Run; JD-4 plus laterals; Ashley Creek.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document
None to be deleted

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 04 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

CRAIG BOWER

February 17, 2004

Chair, Local Work Group

Date